

### 9. Review of BNSSG Supplementary Services and the South Gloucestershire Basket 2022/2023

Meeting of the BNSSG Primary Care Commissioning Committee 26<sup>th</sup> April 2022

Dr Geeta Iyer, Clinical Lead for Primary Care Development Vittorio Graziani, Primary Care contracts manager Jenny Bowker, Head of Primary Care Development

# Background

Bristol, North Somerset and South Gloucestershire Clinical Commissioning Group

- Bristol, North Somerset and South Gloucestershire (BNSSG) CCG invests £2.4m in Local Enhanced Services. An additional £8.9m is set aside to fund the Supplementary Services specification and the South Gloucestershire Basket which is part of a 5 year PMS reinvestment agreement which concluded on March 31<sup>st</sup> 2021. It was agreed by PCCC in September 2020 that the timeline for this review be extended due to the significant value of the schemes and the importance of ensuring a thorough review. Therefore practices were paid at the same rates in 21/22 as they were in 20/21 under these agreements. This is an important part of the care our population receives and we need to review our provision of services commissioned via these agreements.
- The Supplementary Services specification as it stands was the culmination of a very complex review which will need to be revisited during this next phase.

## The specifications



- BNSSG Supplementary Services specification:
  - PART A: Recognise and develop consistent offer for non-core contract work including:
    - Primary care initiated phlebotomy
    - Dressings and wound checks
    - Tests and procedures under agreed referral pathways
    - Depo injections for stable mental health patients
  - PART B: Best practice primary care including:
    - Adherence to local clinical pathways and use of CCG referral service
    - Signing data sharing agreements and supporting the development of capacity and demand metrics
    - Identification and support for carers
    - Maintaining practice websites and use of standard phone messages



- South Gloucestershire basket specification funded at 16 pence per patient:
  - Post-Operative Wound Management
  - Specialist Care and Management of Complex leg ulcers
  - Pre-operative Assessments
  - Investigations at Hospital request
  - Removal of Sutures & on-going trauma care

NB specifications in full are attached as appendices

# Background



Key points to note:

- Review must address existing differential payments
- Address inequalities of service provision
- Address deprivation

## Background



### **Bristol Clinical Commissioning Group**

In November 2015 the CCG agreed to use the PMS Premium funding to invest in a Supplementary Services specification. The specification was agreed with the LMC and NHS England and was due to be implemented over a five year period with a review after 2 two years. Bristol pot - £5,561,476.00

	Premium - only for PMS	Reinvestment - for all practices	Total	Weighted list size 2015	Reinvestment Amount per head
Y0 - 15/16	£5,561,476.00	£0.00	£5,561,476.00	515,498	£0.00
Y1 - 16/17	£4,449,180.80	£1,112,295.20	£5,561,476.00	515,498	£2.16
Y2 - 17/18	£3,336,885.60	£2,224,590.40	£5,561,476.00	515,498	£4.32
Y3 - 18/19	£2,224,590.40	£3,336,885.60	£5,561,476.00	515,498	£6.47
Y4 - 19/20	£1,112,295.20	£4,449,180.80	£5,561,476.00	515,498	£8.63
Y5 - 20/21	£0.00	£5,561,476.00	£5,561,476.00	515,498	<mark>£10.79</mark>





### South Gloucestershire Clinical Commissioning Group

South Gloucestershire pot - £2,422,234.80

	Premium - only for PMS	Reinvestment - for all practices	Total	Actual list size 2015	Reinvestment Amount per head
Y0 - 15/16	£2,422,234.80	£0.00	£2,422,234.80	263,860	£0.00
Y1 - 16/17	£1,937,787.84	£484,446.96	£2,422,234.80	263,860	£1.84
Y2 - 17/18	£1,453,340.88	£968,893.92	£2,422,234.80	263,860	£3.67
Y3 - 18/19	£968,893.92	£1,453,340.88	£2,422,234.80	263,860	£5.51
Y4 - 19/20	£484,446.96	£1,937,787.84	£2,422,234.80	263,860	£7.34
Y5 - 20/21	£0.00	£2,422,234.80	£2,422,234.80	263,860	<mark>£9.18</mark>

## Background



### South Gloucestershire Clinical Commissioning Group

South Gloucestershire basket pot - £0.16 per head of patient; the table below Shows the combined investment for South Gloucestershire practices.

	Premium - only for PMS	Reinvestment - for all practices	Total	Actual list size 2015	Reinvestment Amount per head	Basket of proceures	Total with basket
Y0 - 15/16	£2,422,234.80	£0.00	£2,422,234.80	263,860	£0.00	£0.16	£0.16
Y1 - 16/17	£1,937,787.84	£484,446.96	£2,422,234.80	263,860	£1.84	£0.16	£2.00
Y2 - 17/18	£1,453,340.88	£968,893.92	£2,422,234.80	263,860	£3.67	£0.16	£3.83
Y3 - 18/19	£968,893.92	£1,453,340.88	£2,422,234.80	263,860	£5.51	£0.16	£5.67
Y4 - 19/20	£484,446.96	£1,937,787.84	£2,422,234.80	263,860	£7.34	£0.16	£7.50
Y5 - 20/21	£0.00	£2,422,234.80	£2,422,234.80	263,860	£9.18	£0.16	<mark>£9.34</mark>

## Background

Bristol, North Somerset and South Gloucestershire Clinical Commissioning Group

### North Somerset Clinical Commissioning Group

In January 2016 North Somerset Governing Body agreed to use their PMS funding to in a Supplementary Services specification with a view to fund the continuation of non-core services. At the time it was noted that this was less than the value of the premium in both Bristol and South Gloucestershire but was noted as reflective of historic PCT investment in the past.

North Somerset pot - £1,140,162.00

	Premium - only for PMS	Reinvestment - for all practices	Total	Weighted list size 2017	Reinvestment Amount per head
Y0 - 15/16	£1,140,162.00	£0.00	£1,140,162.00	198,885	£0.00
Y1 - 16/17	£912,129.60	£228,032.40	£1,140,162.00	198,885	£1.15
Y2 - 17/18	£684,097.20	£456,064.80	£1,140,162.00	198,885	£2.29
Y3 - 18/19	£456,064.80	£684,097.20	£1,140,162.00	198,885	£3.44
Y4 - 19/20	£228,032.40	£912,129.60	£1,140,162.00	198,885	£4.59
Y5 - 20/21	£0.00	£1,140,162.00	£1,140,162.00	198,885	£5.73



### Governance

- Progress reports presented at Primary Care Commissioning Committee meetings during 20/21
- Executive Team agreed and supported roll over of the services in current scope until March 22
- Progress report presented during Primary Care Commissioning Committee November 2021 recommending further actions and that a full review would be required

## **Options**

### **NHS** Bristol, North Somerset and South Gloucestershire

**Clinical Commissioning Group** 

Option	Information	Pros	Cons
Option 1	Do nothing - this would mean that payments to Practices would stop and the services they provide within the specification would no longer take place due to the 5 year contract coming to an end.	No resources would need to be used/ freeing up of CCG and stakeholder capacity.	Would leave a gap in the services provided to the population. Practices would be negatively impacted by the withdrawal of funding raising Practice resilience issues.
Option 2	Continue to roll over the original 2016 specification and agreement	Minimal CCG / Stakeholder Capacity used	No opportunity to resolve the inequity of the offer across geographical areas Original agreement took into account adjusting payments over the 5 year term, but payments have remained static since April 21.
Option 3	Review the service specification and funding streams of the Supplementary Service offer.	Opportunity to resolve inequality of services and funding across geographical areas. Opportunity to ensure value added for our population and the system.	Will require resource input from a number of CCG teams and stakeholders.

### **Recommendations – Option 3**



PCCC are asked to agree the following:

- That a full review of BNSSG Supplementary Services and the South Gloucestershire Basket LESs is undertaken.
- That the 2021/22 contract agreements and payments for 2022/23 are rolled over while this process takes place.
- Dedicated project management resource has been agreed to support this programme of work.



# **Project Aim**

To develop consistent, high quality, evidence based enhanced primary care which meets population needs, addresses inequity of access, improves health outcomes and offers value for BNSSG.

# **Project Principles**



- Clear identification of the outcomes and impacts of the Supplementary Services and South Gloucestershire Basket specification across the BNSSG system
- Understand patient experience and access to services to inform improvement
- Population Health Management approach focus on achieving outcomes tailored for our population
- Address health inequalities and deprivation in our population
- Full engagement and consultation of stakeholders across our system to identify opportunities to develop enhanced care in primary care which aligns with system priorities

# **Project Principles**



- Understand and seek to mitigate any impact on practice resilience
- To review funding arrangements and implement transparent funding agreement
- Transition arrangements and new specification to be achievable within the current financial envelope
- To capture learning and best practice from other areas
- Key criteria for the review to include:
  - BNSSG population needs
  - Value for money
  - Need for an enhanced service
  - Scale of the services delivered

### Primary Care Investment 22/23 Bristol, North Somerset and South Gloucestershire Clinical Commissioning Group

- Existing budgets for Local Enhanced Services, BNSSG Supplementary Service, and South Gloucestershire Basket will continue to be ring-fenced for primary care.
- 22/23 Delegated Primary Care allocation of £16.59m represents a growth in funding of 11%. This figure includes c.3% inflation.
  - Allocation Growth, £7.5m
  - Investment & Impact Funding (IIF), £2.8m
  - Unallocated Additional Roles Funding, £6.3m

# **Project scope**



In scope:

- BNSSG Supplementary Services
- South Gloucestershire Basket.

Out of scope:

- All other Local Enhanced Services
- Improved Access
- Prescribing Incentive Scheme
- Enhanced services commissioned by other parties (public health) although regular contact and relationships with LA commissioners to be maintained

### **Constraints and interfaces**



Interfaces:

- Community provider commissioned services
- Public Health commissioned services
- Overall financial control and budgeting (via PCOG)
- Primary Care Strategy
- ICP development
- ICS priorities

### Constraints:

- Practice capacity, specifically workforce challenges, may impact their ability to engage during the review period. This will need to be evaluated by the Project Steering Group as the timeline is developed and kept under review
- Competing priorities may impact engagement
- CCG primary care capacity need for commitment to this programme of work

### **Risks and Mitigations**

Bristol, North Somerset and South Gloucestershire Clinical Commissioning Group

### Risks:

- Capacity of project steering group to undertake the work required
- Failure to meet programme deadlines complex engagement processes
- Availability of accurate data to review the services in their current format
- Any changes to funding may affect Practice overall resilience


### Mitigations:

- A review of project resource will be undertaken to ensure sufficient staffing capacity is allocated.
- Dates of key membership and engagement meetings to be mapped against deadlines to ensure sufficient time
- BI colleagues working to understand how best to review/baseline the current position
- Close working with stakeholders to ensure practices are kept updated re progress.

### **Benefits**



- Improved health outcomes for our local population
- Consistent and equitable offer addressing inequities of service for our population
- Improved value for money and system benefits
- Resilient primary care system
- Equitable and fair approach to commissioning with our practices
- An opportunity to explore new ways of working and consider ICS/ ICP implications



### **Project Steering Group**




Name	Role
Lisa Manson (Executive Sponsor)	Director of Commissioning
Dr Geeta Iyer (Chair)	Clinical Lead for Primary Care Development, CCG
Jenny Bowker (SRO)	Head of Primary Care Development
Vittorio Graziani (Project Lead)	Contract Manager Primary Care
Becky Garland (Project Support)	Contract and Project Support Officer
Dr Charlie Kenward	Clinical Effectiveness / PHM /Value lead
Debbie Campbell	Deputy Director, Medicines Optimisation
Jamie Denton	Head of Finance – Primary, Community & Non
	Acute Services
Philip Kirby	Chief Executive Avon LMC
Dr Miriam Ainsworth	Chair, Avon LMC
Jacci Yuill	Lead Quality Manager Primary Care
Emma Gara	Head of Business Intelligence, Contract and
	Commissioning
Qichao Yang	BI Manager (Primary Care) & Principal BI Analyst –
	Contracts

### **High Level Timeline**



- Project Steering Group membership proposed
- Secure dedicated Project Manager resource
- Desktop review and mapping exercise scheduled to be completed by the end of May 2022.
- Engagement with stakeholders June July 22
- Development of a proposal August 22
- Consultation with stakeholders and wider system partners September to end of November 2022
- Feedback analysis and draft proposal December 22
- Draft specification to be agreed in December 22
- Further engagement January 23
- Revised specification end of February 23
- Contracting offer in place for April 23 including detailed transition and phasing arrangements from April 23 onwards







• BNSSG Supplementary Service and Gloucestershire Basket specifications.





Microsoft Word Document

#### **SCHEDULE 2 – THE SERVICES**

#### A. Service Specifications

Service Specification No.	
Service	BNSSG Primary Care Supplementary to essential and additional services scheme
Commissioner Lead	
	Primary Care Contracts Team
	NHS Bristol, North Somerset and South Gloucestershire
	Clinical Commissioning Group (BNSSG CCG)
Provider Lead	GP practices
Period	1 April 2021 - 31 March 2022
Date of Review	September 2022

#### 1. Population Needs

#### 1.0 National/local context and evidence base

- 1.1 In January 2014, NHS England area teams were asked to review local PMS agreements over a two-year period ending in March 2016. While the responsibility for the review lay with NHS England the CCG had a role in developing plans for the reinvestment of the PMS premium. In September 2014 NHS England published a "Framework for Personal Medical Services (PMS) agreements review" which outlined a number of principles to be adopted as part of the process. These are that when considering reinvestment in primary care services it:
  - Reflects joint strategic plans for primary care that have been agreed with the relevant CCG(s);
  - Secures services or outcomes that go beyond what is expected of core general practice;
  - Helps reduce health inequalities;
  - Offers equality of opportunity for GP practices in each locality (i.e. if one or more practices in a given locality are offered the opportunity to earn extra funding for providing an extended range of services or meeting enhanced quality requirements, other practices in that locality capable of providing those services or meeting those requirements should have the same opportunity);
  - Supports fairer distribution of funding at a locality level.

The framework also emphasises that the PMS premium funding must all be reinvested in GP practices within a CCG area. NHS England South has developed a set of principles and guidance "The PMS Review: principles, process and timeline" which sets out the expectations of local CCGs when considering reinvestment of the premium to be consistent across the South region.

This process also includes all PCT legacy payments to practices which were passed to the CCG.

1.2 The 3 former CCGs have worked hard with NHS England, the LMC, and member practices to agree an approach which meets the local and national principles and objectives. We have discussed and agreed a number of local principles which set out in section 1.3 below. We expect this decision to result in the reduction of unwarranted variation between practices and that, over time, patients will be able to

expect the same level of high quality care and access to services at any practice in BNSSG.

- 1.3 The key local principles are:
  - All premium funding will be re- invested into GP provided primary care in BNSSG.
  - All practices will be eligible for reinvestment if they are capable of delivery of appropriate services.
  - Reinvested funding will **not** be linked to a requirement for new primary care activity, as we recognise that practices are already under intense workload pressures.
  - We recognise that some services provided by practices are considered not to be part of the core contract, and we will give serious consideration to re-investing the premium to commissioning these services.
  - We understand that many practice staff are employed using existing funding, and we may need to consider commissioning population based services to be able to continue benefiting from the expertise of these staff, if individual practices are unable to continue the employment of these staff.
  - We need to continue to work with the secondary care trusts locally to ensure that money is actually moved out of secondary care when services are provided in primary care.
  - We have met with the LMC to discuss these principles, they approved of our approach and in particular were reassured that we will not be seeking more for the same from practices. We are committed to working closely with the LMC through this process and in developing the reinvestment plan.
  - This is an opportunity to consolidate what we do, to focus on the important aspects of Primary Care and to begin to support each other to set outcomes and standards that we feel will improve the health of our patients.
- 1.4 The approach agreed by the CCGs was to reinvest the premium funding across all practices to deliver supplementary activities, using the Carr Hill weighted formula, as this is the only nationally negotiated and widely used formula to fund practices according to patient need.
- 1.5 The premium and legacy funding will be removed from 1 April, 2016 over a five-year period at the rate of 20% per annum to give practices time to adjust. This will be net of the CCG reinvestment.
- 1.6 This specification has been developed to provide a funding contribution to each practice in BNSSG on a weighted patient basis for services not funded for in the core contract (i.e. essential or additional services) but that are recognised as activity best provided by a GP. It is hoped that this will remove any unwarranted variation in general practice so that patients can expect the same level of high quality care and access to services at any practice, or group of practices, in BNSSG.

#### 2. Outcomes

#### 2 NHS Outcomes Framework Domains & Indicators

Domain 1	Preventing people from dying prematurely	✓
Domain 2	Enhancing quality of life for people with long-term conditions	~
Domain 3	Helping people to recover from episodes of ill-health or following injury	~

Domain 4	Ensuring people have a positive experience of care	✓	
Domain 5	Treating and caring for people in safe environment	1	
	and protecting them from avoidable harm	•	

#### 3. Scope

#### 3.1 Aims and objectives of service

The CCG recognise that the General Practice landscape has moved on since the development of this enhanced service and would support practices in developing more collaborative solutions to the provision of the activity detailed below.

The aims of the specification are as follows:

- Continuing provision of general practice services
- Reduction in unwarranted variation in general practice
- Developing and sharing best practice
- Continuing to provide improved and enhanced access for routine and urgent appointments to meet patient needs
- Developing approaches to clinical skill mix in primary care teams to best meet the needs of the practice patient population and with best use of resources available
- Working with other practices and the CCG towards appropriate scaling of services, recognising not all services are appropriate to be provided by each practice
- Consistent or reduced activity in A&E admissions and urgent care services
- Development of a consolidated primary care base upon which new pathways, standards and outcomes can be set to improve the health of BNSSG patients

Engagement in work programmes to support CCG strategic outcomes e.g. reduction in secondary care activity and providing care closer to home, mental health agenda, data sharing.

#### 3.2 Service description / care pathways

Practices are required, where it is appropriate for the needs of their patients, to undertake the following:

#### A. Specified Non-Core Contract Work

It is recognised both nationally and locally, that since the introduction of the GMS contract in 2004, there has been an increase in the quantity and range of activity that primary care is requested to undertake, sometimes on behalf of other organisations. Some activity detailed below, for example ear irrigation, complex dressings, and Doppler scanning may be better delivered at a Locality level and

practices may wish to develop these ways of working. Examples of areas of additional workload that is included within this activity includes:

- Phlebotomy initiated by primary care only, and not where it is part of an acute contract (to be subject to review, including for under 16s))
- Removal of post op stitches, dressings and wound checks (if staples removal equipment is provided by the hospitals)
- Dressings (including 3 and 4 layer bandaging where appropriate) and wound care for non-housebound patients
- Doppler scanning for compression bandaging
- Primary Care requested ECGs, spirometry, nebulising, pulse oximetry
- Delivery of Gonadotrophin-releasing hormone antagonist (GnRH analogues/ LHRH) treatment (e;g Triptorelin, Goserelin) once stabilised with a practice agreed protocol
- 24-hour BPs or offer home BP monitoring
- Depo injections related to stable mental health patients, with clear lines of responsive communication with the secondary care provider. This would ensure true shared care with secondary care for these patients.
- Prescribing to midwifery services where not initiated by the consultant and where clinical responsibility remains appropriate for community management. We would seek to develop a standardised clinically safe way to communicate these requests to GPs (not via fax), and ensure that such requests are timely, with relevant clinical information, and are consistent with local/national guidelines.
- Tests and procedures required under agreed referral pathways which are subject to review and have undergone membership engagement; this includes ear irrigation when the following criterion has been met:
  - The patient has applied ear wax softening drops for up to 5 days and this has not been effective (as set out in NICE guidance).
- Managing maternal postnatal checks (excludes immediate baby checks from rapid discharge patients). This will be according to patient need and ensuring that contractual midwife/health visitor review has taken place.

#### **B. Best practice Primary Care**

These reflect best practice for activities in the core contract and should be applied as appropriate:

- Involvement and communication towards the management of complex patients using wider community service providers to ensure the provision of holistic care
- Child and adult safeguarding work towards the safe management and coordination of vulnerable patients in accordance of national requirements
- Use of BNSSG CCG Referral Service and/or e-referrals where appropriate

- Responding to requests from agreed 3<sup>rd</sup> party service providers for verifying up to date patient call up lists e.g. screening service such as breast, bowel and retinopathy
- Processing referrals for Interventions not normally funded (INNF) where initiated by General Practice
- Identification and support for carers to include active signposting to voluntary sector services
- Adherence to local clinical pathways that have been agreed and made available to GP practices for implementation, for example on the BNSSG formulary and the CCG Remedy site
- Patient education regarding primary care services in and out of hours, and other NHS services using website, electronic message boards e.g. JX boards, patient notice boards
- Utilising the standard NHS 111 phone message for out of hours
- A well maintained practice website in addition to NHS choices
- Timely medical records summarising
- Signing data sharing agreements where this supports CCG and practice objectives as appropriate
- Supporting the development of demand and capacity metrics for primary care

Most practices will already be undertaking this work and should now continue to deliver this work at current or reasonable levels for the practice as part of this Local Enhanced Service.

Where individual practices are not providing a particular element of this work already it is expected they will develop a plan, if necessary, with other nearby practices to either provide this activity themselves for their patients or to subcontract this work to a nearby provider for the benefit of their patients.

Where specialised skill sets are required, practices will be expected to work together to provide this service at a reasonable location for their patient if not at their own practice over the next 2 years.

#### Pathway developments

As and when there are pathway developments to do more work in primary care towards the 'Care closer to home/out of hospital care agenda' then it is expected these will need to be commissioned appropriately with funding apportioned accordingly. This activity, as mentioned earlier, could be delivered at scale. The CCG is working towards outcome based commissioning where payment will in future be linked to measurable patient outcomes.

#### How Will Activity Data be Obtained?

EMIS Web Search and Report will be used to export data from practice systems relating to numbers of patients the service has been provided for in each quarter. By signing up to this enhanced service you agree for the data be extracted as required.

3.3 Po	3.3 Population Covered						
All pati	All patients registered with the practice as relevant to the service.						
3.4 An	y acceptance and exclusion criteria						
N/A							
3.5 An	y interdependencies with other services / providers						
N/A							
4.	Applicable Service Standards						
4.1	Applicable national standards (eg NICE)						
	See section 3.2A						
	Applicable standards set out in Guidance and/or issued by a competent body (eg Royal Colleges)						
	Not applicable						
4.3	Applicable local standards						
	Not applicable						
5.	Applicable quality requirements and CQUIN goals						
5.1	Applicable Quality Requirements (See Schedule 4A-C)						
N/A							
	Applicable CQUIN goals (See Schedule 4D)						
N/A							
6.	Location of Provider Premises						
The Pr	The Provider's Premises are located at:						

#### **SCHEDULE 3 – PAYMENT**

#### A. Local Prices

#### LES\_XX\_BNSSG\_SupplementaryServices\_1920

Payment will be made monthly and the value under this agreement is [VALUE]

#### SCHEDULE 6 – CONTRACT MANAGEMENT, REPORTING AND INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS

#### A. Reporting Requirements

Local Requirements Reported Locally	Reporting Period	Format of Report	Timing and Method for delivery of Report	Application
Submission of Supplementary Services template and associated action plans	Each contract year	Completion of template	15 April each contract year	Supplementary Services

### South Gloucestershire Clinical Commissioning Group

### Local Enhanced Service for Provision of Miscellaneous Services "GP Basket" for 2015/2017

Service Level Agreement

Contents:

- 1. Finance Details
- 2. Service Aims
- 3. Criteria
- 4. Signature Sheet

#### 1. Financial Details

In 2015/17 each practice contracted to provide this service will receive 16 pence per patient. For this purpose the Practice list size will be taken as at 1 April 2015 for payment in 2015/16 and again at 1 April 2016 for payment in 2016/17

#### Timescale

This LES will operate for 2 years from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2015 until 31<sup>st</sup> March 2017. It will then be reviewed in the light of new treatment guidelines, protocols or a significant difference in the amount of procedures covered by the LES.

#### 2. Service Aims

All practices are expected to provide essential and those additional services they are contracted to provide to all their patients. This enhanced service specification outlines the more specialised or additional services to be provided. The specification of the service is designed to cover enhanced support to miscellaneous services, all of which are considered to be beyond the scope of essential or additional services. No part of the specification by commission, omission or implication defines or redefines essential or additional services.

General practice increasingly carries out a range of procedures within primary care that have previously been performed in secondary care.

The provision of these services within general practice will add choice of location for patients and improve access and convenience. It is not the intention that future changes to clinical practice across primary and secondary care are automatically included within this enhanced service.

The attendance of patients at secondary care settings is not necessary for the management of some conditions.

The provision of these services within primary care has not been resourced in the past but has in many cases been absorbed by general practice within the workload of Nurses and Nursing assistants funded through GMS routes. Therefore it is proposed that a basket of procedures is identified, which are currently being provided, as an indication of the type of existing work this covers.

#### 3. Criteria

#### Definitions

Local Enhanced Service for Provision of Additional Patient Services (previously GP Basket)

Definition (same as 2013/14)

This LES has been developed to offer some recompense to practices for the large range of services provided as additional patient services. These Additional Patient Services are provided in Primary Care where that care was either initiated or requested to be carried out by secondary care. The services covered by this enhanced service are wide-ranging and cannot be adequately reflected in a definitive list, but all have the common theme of being outside of GP core services.

These additional services include:

Post-Operative Wound Management Specialist Care and Management of Complex leg ulcers Pre-operative Assessments Investigations at Hospital request Removal of Sutures & on-going trauma care

#### **Criteria One: Legal requirements and standards**

Practices must have adequate mechanisms and facilities including premises and equipment as are necessary to enable proper provision of these services. Relevant minimum legal requirements and standards must be met.

#### Criteria Two: Clinical Governance

It is a condition of participation in this LES that Practices will give notification, in addition to their statutory obligations, within 72 hours of the information becoming known to him/her, to the CCG Head of Governance and Risk of all emergency admission or harm/potential harm to patients under this service, where such events may be due to administration/usage of the drug(s) in question or attributable to the relevant underlying medical condition via the quality portal quality@southqloucestershireccg.nhs.uk.

This must not include patient identifiable information

#### Criteria Three: Accreditation

Each practice must ensure that any personnel involved in providing any aspect of care under this

scheme has the necessary training and skills to do so.

Any personnel involved in the provision of this LES will satisfy at appraisal (and revalidation if necessary) that she/he has such continuing clinical experience, training and competence as is necessary to enable her/him to contract for the enhanced service and shall be deemed professionally qualified to do so.